

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

In re: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT) ANTITRUST
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:07-cv-5944 SC
MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

All Indirect Purchaser Actions;

Best Buy Co., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-05513;

Best Buy Co., et al. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05264;

Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v. Technicolor SA, No. 13-cv-05262;

Sears, Roebuck and Co. and Kmart Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture tubes, Ltd., No. 11-cv-05514;

Siegel v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 11-cv-05502;

Siegel v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05261;

Target Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd., et al., No. 13-cv-05514;

Target Corp. v. Technicolor SA, et al., No. 13-cv-05686;

Viewsonic Corp. v. Chunghwa Picture Tubes, Ltd.
No. 14-cv-02510.

Page 10 of 10

Upon consideration of Defendants Motion in Limine # 7 (D.E. 3578) to Exclude Dr. Frankel's Inflation Adjusted Damages, all papers filed in support of and in opposition to said motion, and arguments made by counsel, if any, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

Defendants Motion in Limine # 7 is DENIED.

1
2
3
4
5 Dated: _____, 2015

6 _____
7 HON. SAMUEL CONTI
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28